

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/576,999	04/25/2006	Johan L. Richaud	1461 US/PCT	7246	
Vesuvius	7590 04/18/20		EXAMINER		
4604 Campbe	ells Run Road		KASTLER	KASTLER, SCOTT R	
Pittsburgh, P.	A 15205		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1793		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/18/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/576,999 RICHAUD ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Scott Kastler 1793 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status Responsive to communication(s) filed on \_\_\_\_ С

2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-infal.
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>16-30</u> is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>16-30</u> is/are rejected.
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Application Papers
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> </ol>
<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li></ol>
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/25/2006.

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SE/08)

Attachment(s)

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_

6) Other:

Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/576,999 Page 2

Art Unit: 1793

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior at are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 16-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO'123. WO'123 teaches that placement of "ripples" or perturbations (page 8 lines 5-18 for example) in the surface of a stopper rod and/or nozzle at locations where clogging through precipitation on the refractory component would disadvantageously occur (pages 9 and 10 for example) where there are advantageously a plurality of ripples (page 9, lines 9-18 for example) in order to reduce clogging through precipitation on the surface of a refractory component was known in the art at the time the invention was made, thereby showing all aspects of the above claims except the specific placement of the ripples at any specific location or configuration within the areas broadly disclosed by WO'123 as suitable for placement of the ripples or perturbations. However since the ripples or perturbations of WO'123 operate in substantially the same manner (disruption of laminar flow of the molten metal in the boundary layer) with substantially the same results (reduced precipitation n the refractory components, leading to reduced clogging), motivation to employ any of the disclosed equally useful configurations or arrangements broadly allowed by the disclosure of WO'123 would have been a modification obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made since it has been well settled that where the prior art discloses a broad range of acceptable operating conditions, motivation to select a more narrow, but equally useful range within the broader range disclosed by the applied prior art

Art Unit: 1793

would have been a modification obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made. See MPEP 2144.05.

## Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Richaud (the US patent application publication of WO'123) is also cited.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott Kastler whose telephone number is (571) 272-1243. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on (571) 272-1244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/576,999 Page 4

Art Unit: 1793

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Scott Kastler/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1793

sk